IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 366 OF 2005

Mohiuddin Mohmed Kadar .....Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra .....

Respondents

Mr. Saikumar Ramamurthy for the applicant.
Mrs. M.M. Deshmukh, A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM: **A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.**
DATE: DECEMBER 13, 2005.

P.C.

Grievance of the applicant in this proceeding is that although charge has been framed as back as in June, 2001, the trial has not moved an inch thereafter. Indeed, that cannot be sole ground for quashing the proceedings. At the same time, it is incomprehensible as to why the trial Court has not proceeded with the trial so far. I am informed that the case is founded on an incident of March, 1996. The case has been pending since then. The trial has been transferred to another Court and has now been renumbered as CC No. 663/P/2000. In the circumstances, the concerned Court is directed to ensure that the trial of the above numbered case is proceeded expeditiously as High Court expedited case. The trial Court shall ensure that the trial is completed preferably within six months from the

receipt of writ of this Court and report compliance in that behalf. The trial Court shall not entertain any request for adjournment made on behalf of the prosecution or the accused. This direction is issued on the assurance given by the applicant to Counsel that all co-operation will be extended to the trial Court for complying with the direction given under this order. Application is disposed of with the above observations.

(A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.)